

United Egg Producers

UEP Headquarters 1720 Windward Concourse • Suite 230 • Alpharetta, Georgia 30005 (770) 360-9220 • Fax (770) 360-7058



July 15, 2005

UEP Officers

Roger Deffner, Chairman Al Pope, President Dolph Baker, First Vice Chairman Gary West, Second Vice Chairman Bob Krouse, Treasurer Joe Fortin, Secretary

UEP Staff

Al Pope President

Gene Gregory Sr. Vice President

Linda Reickard Vice President

Chad Gregory Vice President

Sherry Shedd Vice President of Finance

Irving Isaacson, Esq. UEP General Counsel

Washington Office

Howard Magwire Director of Government Relations

Michael McLeod, Esq. Washington Counsel

Randy Green Sr. Government Relations Rep.

Egg Nutrition Center

Dr. Don McNamara Executive Director

Egg Food Safety Center

Dr. Hilary Shallo Thesmar Director of Food Safety Programs



Official U.S. Council Representative

Docket No. 05-015-1

Regulatory Analysis and Development, PPD, APHIS Station 3C71 4700 River Road, Unit 118 Riverdale, Maryland 20737-1238

Dear Sir or Madam:

On behalf of United Egg Producers (UEP), we would like to submit the following comments with respect to the draft strategic plan and draft program standards for the National Animal Identification System (NAIS). UEP is a farmer cooperative whose members account for some 90% of U.S. shell egg production. Our members recognize the necessity for a quick response to outbreaks of animal disease. We are actively participating in the working group on bird identification which the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) has convened.

UEP would like to make four major points about the NAIS.

First, we support the use of a **flock identification system** for commercial poultry, rather than individual bird identification. The latter would be completely impractical, given the very large numbers of individual birds reared in the layer, broiler and turkey industries. To our knowledge, no one has advocated an individual ID system for commercial poultry, and there seems to be a consensus in favor of flock, group or lot ID.

Second, we believe USDA should investigate whether individual bird ID may be both feasible and desirable in the live bird markets (LBMs). These markets are a reservoir for low-pathogenic avian influenza (LPAI), and the persistence of the virus in these venues raises the concern that it could mutate into a highly pathogenic form. USDA has already undertaken pilot work on individual ID systems for the LBMs, and we encourage the Department to evaluate this and related work, and make a decision that will best protect animal and human health.

Washington Offices

UEP Government Relations
One Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 842-2345 • Fax (202) 682-0775

Egg Nutrition Center 1050 17th Street, NW, Suite 560 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 833-8850 Fax (202) 463-0102 JUL | 9 2005

UEP lowa Office
Box 170
Eldridge, IA 52748
(563) 285-9100 • Fax (563)285-9109

Third, we encourage USDA to stress consistency, and avoid duplication, to the maximum extent possible in implementing animal ID systems. Consistency, for example, suggests the use of the same definitions for "flock" and other relevant terms in the animal ID program that have already been developed for commercial egg layers in the new LPAI surveillance and control system which is being developed by the National Poultry Improvement Plan (NPIP) and will be published as a regulation by APHIS.

Fourth, we join other producer organizations in stressing the need for information gathered under the NAIS to remain confidential and exempt from the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). Since USDA has stated that legislation is necessary to ensure this confidentiality, we urge the Administration to work expeditiously with Congress to pass an appropriate FOIA statutory exemption. Likewise, we urge that NAIS not become mandatory until and unless the FOIA exemption has been achieved.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Howard Magwire

Director of Government Relations

Howard Magnerie